## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,806	KANAZAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
periods:		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	•	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY	ction, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	#	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension ar under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w	ith 37 CEP 41 37 must be filed within two months of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration		
(b) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspo	nding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable in non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will n how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided bel The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 5</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and wa	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N	OT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other: the amendement does not overcomcome all the rejections	<u>5</u> .	
	/Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625	